

City of Naples Beach Restoration & Water Quality Improvement Project

Presented By:

Erickson Consulting Engineers, Inc.

Karyn Erickson, ME, PE, D CE, Project Director Christin Perkinson, PhD, PE, D CE, Project Manager

Stantec Inc.

Kelly Blake, PE, Project Manager Ramon Castella, PE



June 1, 2016





Naples Beach Restoration & WQ Improvement Project

- **Background & Project Need**
- Existing Site Conditions
- Project Goals & Objectives
- > Analysis
- **Design Requirements**
- Alternatives
- Cost Estimates
- Evaluation and Alternatives Ranking
- Recommended Alternative (#3)



Background

Naples Beach Restoration & WQ Improvement Project

- Proactive Stormwater Management Program (\$70M)
- Stormwater User Fees & Incentives
- Stormwater & Fertilizer Ordinances (BMPs & PreTreatment)
- **Grass Retention Swales Program & Education**
- State DEP Requires Outfall Removal
- City Resolution No. 12-13028 Requires Outfall Removal
- AECOM Stormwater Outfall Removal "Alternatives Evaluation" (2013)
- ECE "Technical Analysis & 30% Design Report" (2015/16)

Project Need

- Existing Outfalls Identified as Source of **Beach Erosion and Bacteria**
- Blocked Discharge from Sand Buildup



- Gulf Shore Blvd Flooding Due to Back-up of Existing Beach **Outfalls**
- **Degrades Aesthetics and Impacts Tourism**
- Sea Level Rise Will Increase Occurrence of Flooding



April 2008



Stormwater Outfalls at Naples Beach



Outfalls: #2, #3, #4, #5 and #6, #7, #8, #9, #10 (City-9)



Site Conditions





Blocked Discharge (Outfall 9)

Flooding of Gulf Shore Road (Near 2nd Ave N/Outfall 8, Sept 2015)

Project Need

Site Conditions











Project Need 7

Site Conditions – Water Quality





Project Need 8

Goals & Objectives

- **1.** Reduce flooding and improve water quality
- 2. Reduce adverse impacts to beach & hardbottom resources
- 3. Eliminate beach erosion from outfall induced scour
- 4. Improve lateral beach access by removing pipelines/outfalls
- **5.** Meet or exceed existing level of service:
 - 5-Yr rain event (City of Naples Comprehensive Plan)
 - 25-Yr rain event (SFWMD)
- 6. Convey stormwater to pump station, treatment & offshore discharge
- 7. Community education (project objectives and design)



Analysis & Design Requirements

- **Naples Beach Rainfall Conditions**
- Level of Service (25 Yr Storm Event Discharge)
- S/W Line Consolidation (Peak Discharge)
- Siting & Sizing Pump Station(s)
- Define & Evaluate Water Quality Conditions & **Treatment**
- **Depth of Closure & Nearshore Hardbottom**





Naples Rainfall Days Exceeding 0.5 Inch

Voor	Total Days Exceeded						
fear	0.5 in	1 in	2 in	3 in	4 in		
2003	42	20	7	3			
2004	25	12	2	0	0		
2005	35	18	9	1			
2006	30	20	4	0	0		
2007	18	9	2	0	0		
2008	33	15	3	0	0		
2009	25	6	0	0	0		
2010	34	11	3	0	0		
2011	24	13	2	0	0		
2012	25	12	1	0	0		
2013	31	14	4		0		
2014	33	9	1	1			
2015	22	6	2	0			
TOTAL	377	165	40	6	3		
AVG	29	13	3	0	0		
OCCURENCE	26.6%	11.6%	2.8%	0.4%	0.2%		

Total # Days

148
125
142
93
96
103
96
96
96
99
109
104
105
1,412
109
100%

Analysis

Aug 4, 2014 Rainfall Event



(6 inches in 4 hours)

Analysis ¹²

Beach Erosion Impacts

- Erosion Fronting Outfalls = 92,200 CY (7 Yrs)
- Outfall Induced Impact Estimated at 50+%
- Sand Placement Cost (92,200 CY* \$35/CY)
 - \$1.6M (2006-2013)







Design Level(s) of Service

Outfall		Peak Discharge (cfs)				
Uutian #	Outfall Location Description	5-Yr/1-Hr	5-Yr/1-Day	25-Y		
#		Event	Event	E		
2	Naples Beach Hotel & Golf Club	36.8 (19.9)	26.2 (14.2)	84.		
3	8th Avenue North	9.6	8.5			
4	7th Avenue North	9.8	8.0			
5	6th Avenue North	5.6	5.1			
6	Alligator Lake Outfall	37.0 (34.2)	37.0 (34.2)	82.		
7	3rd Avenue North	19.4	16.4			
8	1st Avenue North	31.7	28.1			
9	1st Avenue South	8.2	8.0			
10	2nd Avenue South	9.6	8.1			
	TOTAL	168 (148)	145 (131)	29		

Values in parenthesis represent the predicted

Naples Beach Hotel & Golf Club Improvements (Grady Minor, 2015)



Analysis 14

WQ Sampling & Testing

Parameters Tested

- Suspended Solids
- Fecal Coliform
- Enterococci
- > Nitrogen, Nitrate & Nitrite
- Phosphorous
- > Turbidity
- Conductivity & Temperature



15 Analysis

Sites for Consolidation & Pump Stations

Largest % of Total Flow: Outfall 2: 19% Outfall 6: 31% Outfall 8: 17% 67%



City's Existing Utility Infrastructure & S/W Line Consolidation Options







17 Analysis

Pipeline Consolidation along Gulf Shore Blvd

- Utilities Department prefers consolidation line west ROW to replace the potable watermain
- Consolidation line east side concurrent with creating a bike lane



Analysis

Conceptual Collection System for Pipeline Consolidation

(Alternative 1)

22			· · · · · ·			
	Conveyance Direction		Outfall Description	Peak Flow for the 5-Yr/1-Day Event	Collection System Flow	Co
				(cfs)	(Peak cfs)	
	To Outfail 2	2	Naples Beach Hotel and Golf Club	5.7		
	To Dura	2	Naples Beach Hotel and Golf Club (City)	8.5	28.4	
	TO Pump	3	8th Avenue North	8.5	12.9	
	Station	4	7th Avenue North	8.0	12.4	
	+		Sub-Total (2-4)	(25.1)	(53.6)	
	Pump Station	1				
	To Pump	5	6th Avenue North	5.1	8.2	
	Station 1		Sub-Total (5)	5.1	8.2	
		6	Near Alligator Lake	34.2	76.1	
	Station	7	3rd Avenue North	16.4	24.1	
	Statione		Sub-Total (6-7)	50.6	100.2	
	Pump Station	2	-			
	1	8	2nd Avenue North	28.1	42.6	
	To Pump	9	1st Avenue South	8.0	11.2	
	Station 2	10	2nd Avenue South	8.1	11.8	
			Sub-Total (8-10)	44.2	65.6	
			TOTAL CONSOLIDATED	124.9	165.7	
			TOTAL PEAK RUNOFF (Outfalls 2-10)	130.5		



Conceptual Discharge Plan for Pipeline Consolidation LOS Requirement (25-yr)

Description	Cumulative Collection System Flow	Pump Station Flow Discharged to Gulf	System Overflow
Pump Station 1	61.9	61.9	0.0
Pump Station 2	165.7	94.8	71.0
TOTAL CONSOLIDATED	227.6	156.6	71.0

All values are peak flow rates (cfs)



Design Requirements 20

Pump Station Siting





3rd Avenue North



Alligator Lake **Generator Site**

6th Avenue North

21 **Design Requirements**

Pump Station Components

- Below Grade Wet Well & Valve Vault
- Elevated Control Panel
- Emergency Generator







22

Design Requirements Summary

Improve Function of Existing S/W System

- Pump Station will Significantly Improve Drainage & Increase System Efficiency
- Eliminate Stormwater "Staging" for Pipe Flow to Gulf
- Pump Station Below Grade and Adjacent to Beach
- Low Relief Diffuser System to Minimize Impacts
- Overflow Capacity for Extreme Rainfall Events
- Allow for Changes to Components

Minimize/Eliminate Impacts to Water Quality

• Stage Treatment (Filtration, UV Disinfection as required)

Prevent Erosion to Beach - Dune System

- Subsurface Pipeline to Offshore Diffuser
- Eliminate Impacts to Beach-Nearshore System (removing outfalls)

Alternatives

Alternative	Pump Station Location	Total Flow % Consolidated to Pump Station 5-yr 25-yr		System Re-Routing	Outfalls to Remain
1	3 rd Avenue N	77%	41%	To Moorings Bay & Naples Bay	Outfall 2 (Private Contribution)
2	6 th Avenue N ("North System") and 3 rd Avenue N ("South System)	96%	69%	-	Outfall 2 (Private Contribution)
3	Vicinity of Naples Beach Hotel & Golf Club ("North System") <u>and</u> 3 rd Avenue N ("South System)	100%	77%		-

Alternatives: Conceptual Flow Schematics



Alternative 1: Single Pump Station 3rd Ave North

Pipeline Consolidation Schematic



egment ₋ength (ft)	RCP Size (in)
360	24
780	30
420	36
,210	36 (2) (from Outfall 6) 36
2,770	(from North)
810	36
3.580	

Pump Station, Offshore Discharge & Diffuser System (Alternative 1)





Alt 1 Peak Flow = 100 cfs

27 Alternatives

Consolidated Stormwater System to Offshore Discharge Profile View



No DuneReduces EnvironmentalDImpactsImpactsDe

Diffuser Beyond Depth of Closure

Alternatives ²⁸

Overflow Line (Modify Outfall #6)







City of Venice

Filtration & Treatment System

- Complete WQ Testing Program
- Determine WQ Treatment Requirements
- Design Suspended Sediment Filtration
- UV Treatment System







30 Alternatives



Gulf of Mexico





Cost Comparison

		Alternative 2		ative 2	Alternative 3		
Item	Description	Alternative 1	North System (Phase I)	South System (Phase II)	North System (Phase I)	South System (Phase II)	
1	Mobilization/Demobilization	\$578,300	\$586,900	\$593,300	\$496,530	\$593,300	
2	Pipeline Consolidation	\$4,084,000	\$1,723,800	\$2,507,300	\$1,786,000	\$2,507,300	
3	Pump Station System	\$2,403,680	\$2,312,200	\$2,403,680	\$1,809,200	\$2,403,680	
4	Water Quality Treatment System	\$1,115,000	\$1,025,000	\$1,387,500	\$1,025,000	\$1,387,500	
5 HDD & Diffuser System		\$2,794,000	\$3,038,000	\$1,946,000	\$2,882,000	\$1,946,000	
Sub-Total (Items 1-5)		\$10,974,980	\$8,685,900	\$8,837,780	\$7,998,730	\$8,837,780	
Contingency (20%)		\$ <mark>2,195,000</mark>	\$1,737,200	\$1,767,600	\$1,599,700	\$1,767,600	
Sub-Total by System		\$13,169,980	\$10,423,100	\$10,605,380	\$9,598,430	\$10,605,380	
Total		\$13,169,980	\$21,028,480		\$20,203,810		
% of 5-yr / 25-yr Flow Treated		77% / 41%	96% / 69%		100% / 77%		
Effectiveness per Dollar Spent (Construction \$ / % Treated)		\$17.1M / \$32.1M	\$21.9M / \$30.5 \$2		\$20.2M	2 M / \$26.2M	

Alternatives Evaluation & Ranking

- Ranking by City Engr & Natural Resource Depts and Engr Team
- Meetings with Stakeholders
 - Conservancy of SWFL and Waterkeeper Alliance
 - Permitting Agencies (SFWMD, FDEP)
- Sensitivity Analysis

~	Devel-in a Coole						
	Ranking Scale	Ranking	Description				
		-7 / +7	Significant comparative negative/				
		-4 / +4	Medium comparative negative/pos				
		0	Neutral impact for project				

Depts and

positive project impact sitive project impact

Alternatives Evaluation & Ranking

Evaluation Criteria		Alternative 1		Alternative 2		Alternative 3	
		Raw	Weighted	Raw	Weighted	Raw	Weighted
Technical	40%		1.15		1.08		1.63
Meets Project Objectives	15%	4	0.60	4	0.75	6	0.90
Technical Complexity (Pipeline Consolidation)	5%	-6	-0.30	-5	-0.25	-4	-0.20
Operational Integrity and Reliability (Pump Station)	7.5%	6	0.45	4	0.30	4	0.30
Constructability	7.5%	4	0.30	3	0.23	5	0.38
Scalability	5%	2	0.10	4	0.20	5	0.25
Financial	30%		0.30		0.15		0.30
Capital Expenditure (CAPEX)	15%	-1	-0.15	-3	-0.45	-3	-0.45
Effectiveness per Dollar Spent	15%	3	0.45	4	0.60	5	0.75
Non-Technical	30%		1.30		1.23		1.68
Social Considerations	7.5%	4	0.30	2	0.15	5	0.38
Environmental Impact	10%	4	0.40	5	0.50	6	0.60
Regulatory Approvals (Permitting)	5%	6	0.30	4	0.20	5	0.25
Health & Safety (Flood Protection, Public Safety, Recreation, etc)	7.5%	4	0.30	5	0.38	6	0.45
	100%		2.8		2.5		3.6

Preferred Alternative

- Alternative 3: Two pump stations
- Removes All City Outfalls (9)
- Routes Outfall 5 to Alligator Lake (Additional WQ Treatment)
- Significantly Improves Nearshore Water Quality
- **Highest Effectiveness per Dollar Spent** (100% / 77% of flows treated)
- Eliminates Adverse Impacts to **Environmental Resources**
- **Scalable**



South System Pump Station & Electrical System

Alternative 3 "North System"



Preferred Alternative

"North System" Pump Station Design

Preferred Alternative 37

"North System" Offshore Discharge and **Diffuser System**

Pipeline Consolidation Sch

Alternative 3 "South System"

Offshore Discharge (Peak Flow)

- 100% 5-yr
- 77% 25-yr •

Preferred Alternative

Segment	RCP
Length	Size
(ft)	(in)

36 (2)

3.080

"South System" Pump Station Design

"South System" Generator Site

Alternative

"South System" Offshore Discharge & Diffuser

Preferred Alternative

Cost Estimate & Phases

ltem	Description	Alternative 3	
		North System (Phase I)	South Sys (Phase
1	Mobilization/Demobilization	\$496,530	\$59
2	Outfalls Consolidation	\$1,786,000	\$2,50
3	Pump Station System	\$1,809,200	\$2,40
4	Water Quality Treatment System	\$1,025,000	\$1,38
5	HDD & Diffuser System	\$2,882,000	\$1,94
Sub-Total (Items 1-5)		\$7,998,730	\$8,83
Contingency (20%)		\$1,599,700	\$1,76
Sub-Total by System		\$9,598,430	\$10,60
Total		\$20,203,810	

Preferred Alternative

Consolidation (South System) along back-beach potentially results in \$1.8 cost savings

Recommendations & Next Steps

- Continue Stakeholder / Community Coordination
- **Complete Water Quality Testing Program**
- Additional Data Collection and Modeling
- Supplemental Engineering
- Return to Council with Update

Detailed Design and Permitting

November 2016

Questions and Discussion

